

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,752	01/27/2004	Zenya Nagashima	075834.00450	1915
ROBERT J. DE		EXAMINER		
LEWIS T. STE		PENG, CHARLIE YU		
ROCKEY, DEPKE, LYONS AND KITZINGER, LLC SUITE 5450 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606-6306	2883		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 12/27/2006		12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		st.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,752	NAGASHIMA, ZENYA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charlie Peng	2883				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (
·=						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex paπe Quayie</i> , 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2005 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ of the drawing(s) be held in abeyard rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the priority document of the p	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	PRIM	MARY PATENT EXAMINER				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

Applicant amended claims 12 and 16 to incorporate allowable subject matters previously indicated. However, the indicated allowabilities have been withdrawn upon further consideration in view of prior art (U.S. 4,540,237 to Winzer) previously cited. The examiner regrets any inconvenience this may cause. Please refer to 35 USC 103 rejections below for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

U.S. Patent 6,205,274 to Zhou in view of U.S. Patent 5,552,918 to Krug et al. and U.S.

Patent 4,540,237 to Winzer. Zhou teaches an optical device having an optical fiber 101 having an inclined end face at one end, wherein the end face is has a reflective coating 105.

a light source **102** located radially from the center of the optical fiber **101** to emit light and faces the reflective coating **105**,

a light detector **118** places along an optical axis of the optical fiber **101** and adjacent to the one end of the optical fiber **101**. (See at least Fig. 7A and description) Zhou is silent on how light diffuses from the light source **102**. Krug teaches an optical

Art Unit: 2883

transceiver module having a light transmitter **2**, a light receiver **6**, and a fiber **4** all housing in a common housing for the purpose of substantially reducing troublesome back-reflections and undesired crosstalk. (Column 1, line 59 – column 2, line 23.) Since the housing and the transceiver's structure serve to prevent crosstalk between the light transmitter and the light receiver, the light receiver must be outside any light diffusion or transmission range of the light transmitter.

Zhou and Krug are silent on the end face of the optical fiber having a non-angled portion. Winzer teaches a coupling component comprising

a first fiber \mathbf{f}_s having an angled surface \mathbf{A}_a coated with a reflective layer \mathbf{R} and a non-angled surface \mathbf{A}_e ,

a second fiber $\mathbf{f_e}$ sharing and communicate through the non-angled surface $\mathbf{A_e}$ with the first fiber,

a third fiber f_a serving as an out-coupling fiber for coupling light out of the first optical fiber f_s .

Since both the Zhou reference and the Krug reference are of analogous art placing optical elements outside to light transmission path of the optical fibers and communicating with the optical fibers via a angled and reflective end surface, the purpose of using the teachings of the Krug and Winzer references would be recognized as relevant prior art to Zhou's invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to built a housing, preferably opaque, as suggested by Krug's teachings around the optical fiber in Zhou's invention and use the multi-end-faced fiber by Winzer. The motivation would be to reduces or eliminate

Art Unit: 2883

interference by light signal coming directly from the source, as the detector would only receive light signal from the optical fiber as intended. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantage of having a non-angled portion of the end surface as it permits the fiber to abut and align a flat surface readily and easily.

With reference to claims 13 and 17, core diameter of the fiber $\mathbf{f_s}$ is $\mathbf{d_s}$, and core area is indicated as that inside the dashed line.

With reference to claims 14 and 18, Zhou teaches that the detector **118** can also be placed so that a normal line to its light receiving plane is perpendicular to an optical axis of the optical fiber **101** and the light source **102** is facing the inclined end face.

With reference to claims 15 and 19, only the angled surface A_a is coated with the reflective film R.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charlie Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-2177. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 6 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2883

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

сур

BRIAN HEALY
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5